

# How to Search a Tree to which Eulerian Networks are Attached

Steve Alpern  
Department of Mathematics  
London School of Economics  
London WC2A 2AE

20 July 2005

## Abstract

We call a network *partly Eulerian* if consists of a tree (of length  $a$  and radius  $r$ ) to which a finite number of disjoint Eulerian networks (of total length  $b$ ) are attached, each at a single point. We show that for such networks, a search strategy consisting equiprobably of a minimal (Chinese Postman) covering path and its reverse path is optimal, in the sense that it minimizes (at  $a + b/2 - r$ ) the expected time to find a point hidden according to the worst case distribution. This generalizes a similar result of Dagan and Gal for search games on trees.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite network with arc length measure  $\lambda$  and distance function  $d$ . We consider two zero-sum search games originating in R. Isaacs' book [7] in which a unit speed Searcher tries to minimize the time (payoff)  $T$  required to find a stationary ( $T$ -maximizing) Hider. The Hider simply picks a point  $y$  in  $G$ . In the *fixed-start* game  $\Gamma(G, x)$  the Searcher picks a unit speed path in  $G$  starting at the designated point  $x$ , while in the *arbitrary-start* game  $\Gamma(G)$  he may start anywhere. Compactness arguments [5] [2] establish that these games always have (minimax) values which we denote respectively as  $V_x = V(G, x)$  and  $V = V(G)$ . While much work has been done on fixed-start search games [5], arbitrary-start games have only very recently been studied by Dagan and Gal [4] for the case where  $G$  is a tree. (There is also some early some early work when  $G$  is the circle for related games where the Hider is also mobile ([9],[1]), but very different techniques are required for those games.) This paper generalizes the work of [4] by solving arbitrary-start games when  $G$  is a *partly Eulerian* network (a tree to which disjoint Eulerian circuits are attached). Our results may be interpreted as finding the best worst-case method to search such a network.

## 2 Definitions

A path  $S$  in  $G$  is a continuous function from some finite time interval  $[0, \tau]$  into  $G$ . In our games  $\Gamma(G)$  and  $\Gamma(G, x)$  the Searcher will only use unit speed paths in  $G$  belonging respectively to the sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &= \{S : d((S(t)), S(t')) \leq |t - t'|\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{S}_x &= \{S \in \mathcal{S} : S(0) = x\}.\end{aligned}$$

Such a path is called *closed* if  $S(0) = S(\tau)$ , and called a *tour* if additionally its range is  $G$ . If the Searcher chooses a path  $S$  and the Hider a point  $y \in G$ , then the payoff is the capture time  $T(S, y) = \min\{t : S(t) = y\}$ . If  $T$  has mixed strategies has arguments (strategies), it will be interpreted as the *expected* time.

Let  $\mu = \lambda(G)$  denote the total length (sum of arc lengths) of  $G$ . A Chinese Postman (CP) tour is a tour of minimum length  $\bar{\mu}$ , and a CP path is a covering (range  $G$ ) path of minimum length  $\tilde{\mu}$ . We call  $G$  Eulerian if  $\bar{\mu} = \mu$  and any tour with length  $\mu$  is called an Eulerian tour. We say that  $G$  is *weakly Eulerian* if it contains a finite number of disjoint Eulerian networks which, when each is shrunk to a point, leaves a tree.

## 3 Fixed-Start Games

Although we are mainly concerned with arbitrary-start search games, we will need the following important result of Gal ([6],[2])(extending work of Reijniers and Potters [8]) on fixed-start games, characterizing networks for which *random CP tours* (CP tours traversed equally likely in either direction) are optimal for the Searcher.

**Theorem 1 (Gal)** *If  $G$  is weakly Eulerian then for any starting point  $x$ ,  $V(G, x) = \bar{\mu}/2$ . An optimal Searcher strategy is a random CP tour starting at  $x$ , and there is an optimal Hider strategy which is uniform on every Eulerian sub-network. Conversely, if  $V(G, x) = \bar{\mu}/2$  for some  $x$ , then  $G$  is weakly Eulerian.*

## 4 Partly Eulerian Networks

We say that  $G$  is *partly Eulerian* if it is the union of a tree  $A$  and a finite number of disjoint Eulerian networks  $E_i$ , such that each  $E_i$  intersects  $A$  in a single point. We denote as  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $r$  the length  $\lambda(A) = a$  of the tree part  $A$ , the length  $\lambda(B) = b$  of the Eulerian part  $B = \cup E_i$ , and the radius  $r = \min_{x \in A} \max_{y \in A} d(x, y)$  of  $A$ . The minimizing point is called the *center*  $c$  of  $A$ . The numbers for  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  are easily calculated as follows. (The inequality is later shown to be an equality in Theorem 6)

**Lemma 2** *If  $G$  is a partly Eulerian network we have*

$$\bar{\mu} = 2a + b, \text{ and} \tag{1}$$

$$\tilde{\mu} \leq 2a + b - 2r. \tag{2}$$

**Proof.** The equality holds because doubling all the arcs of  $A$  gives a network having all nodes of even degree (so  $\bar{\mu} \leq 2a + b$ ), and furthermore any tour of  $G$  must traverse every arc of  $A$  at least twice (because every non-leaf node of  $A$  is a cut point), so  $\bar{\mu} \geq 2a + b$ . To obtain the inequality, let  $x$  and  $y$  be distinct nodes of  $A$  at distance  $r$  from the center  $c$  of the tree  $A$ . Let  $G^*$  be the network of total length  $2a - 2r + b$  in which every arc of  $G$  is doubled, except those in  $B$  or those on the simple path in  $A$  of length  $2r$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . Then all nodes of  $G^*$  except  $x$  and  $y$  have degree 2, so there is an Eulerian path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G^*$ . This path has length  $2a - 2r + b$  and may be interpreted as a covering path of  $G$ . Hence  $\tilde{\mu} \leq 2a + b - 2r$  ■

A *random CP path* is an equiprobable randomization of a CP path  $S$  and its reverse CP path  $S'(t) = S(\tilde{\mu} - t)$  (going from  $S(\tau = \tilde{\mu})$  to  $S(0)$ ). If a point  $x \in G$  is found by  $S$  at time  $t_x$ , then it is found by  $S'$  no later than  $\tilde{\mu} - t_x$ , hence a random CP path finds every point of  $G$  in average time no more than  $\tilde{\mu}/2$ . Since this is a mixed strategy in  $\Gamma(G)$ , we have

$$V(G) \leq \tilde{\mu}/2. \quad (3)$$

We say that  $G$  is *simply searchable* if  $V(G) = \tilde{\mu}/2$ , in which case a random CP path is an optimal strategy in  $\Gamma(G)$ . We show that a partly Eulerian network is simply searchable, generalizing a similar result of Dagan and Gal [4] for trees.

## 5 Lower bounds on $V(G)$

The following result is implicit in the Dagan-Gal proof that a tree is simply searchable.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $G$  be any network. If  $h$  is an optimal hider mixed strategy in  $\Gamma(G, y)$  and  $S$  is a pure search strategy with  $S(0) = x$ , then  $T(S, h) \geq V_y - d(x, y)$ . Consequently for all  $x, y \in G$  we have  $|V_x - V_y| \leq d(x, y)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S'$  denote the pure search strategy with  $S'(0) = y$ , which begins by going directly to  $x$  and then follows  $S$ . Since  $S'$  reaches any point of  $G$  at most time  $d(x, y)$  later than  $S$  does, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_y &\leq T(S', h) \leq d(x, y) + T(S, h), \text{ or} \\ T(S, h) &\geq V_y - d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $S$  with  $S(0) = x$ , we have

$$V_x \geq V_y - d(x, y), \text{ and so by symmetry } |V_x - V_y| \leq d(x, y).$$

■

**Lemma 4** *Let  $G = A \cup B$  be a partly Eulerian network with tree part  $A$  and Eulerian part  $B$ . Let  $h$  be a mixed hider strategy (probability measure) on  $G$  which is uniform (possibly with different densities) on each component  $E_i$  of the Eulerian part  $B$  of  $G$ . Then there is a pure search strategy which is optimal against  $h$  and starts in  $A$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $S$  is an optimal strategy against  $h$  with  $S(0) \notin A$ . (Optimal strategies against  $h$  exist by the usual compactness argument, and if they all start in  $A$  we are done). Let  $E_1 \subset B$  be the Eulerian component in which the search strategy  $S$  begins, and let  $e$  denote its attachment point to  $A$ . We show that there is another optimal search strategy  $\hat{S}$  against  $h$  which starts at  $e$ .

Let  $C = (G - E_1) \cup \{e\}$  be the complement of the interior of  $E_1$ . We may break up the search  $S$  into a search piece  $S^1$  in  $E_1$  followed by a search piece  $S^2$  in  $C$ , a search piece  $S^3$  in  $E_1$ , and so on, ending either in  $E_1$  or  $C$ . Let  $t_i$  denote the time the  $i$ 'th search ends (at  $e$ , except possibly for the last search  $S^k$ ). Let  $p_i$  denote the probability that the hider will be found in the  $i$ 'th search, let  $L_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$  ( $t_0 \equiv 0$ ) denote length of the  $i$ 'th search,  $\rho_i$  its density and let  $c_i$  denote the offset of the center of mass of the  $i$ 'th search piece from its starting time. That is, if  $F(t)$  is the probability that  $S$  finds the hider by time  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= F(t_i) - F(t_{i-1}), \\ L_i &= t_i - t_{i-1} \quad (t_0 \equiv 0), \\ c_i &= \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t - t_{i-1}) dF(t) \\ \rho_i &= p_i / L_i. \end{aligned}$$

In these terms we can calculate the expected time  $w$  to find the hider as

$$w = T(S, h) = p_1 c_1 + p_2 (L_1 + c_2) + p_3 (L_1 + L_2 + c_3) + \dots \quad (4)$$

Note that except for  $S^1$  and  $S^k$ , all the search pieces  $S^i$  start and end at the node  $e$ , and so could be interchanged to still describe a valid search path. Observe that if we modify the search  $S$  to a search  $\hat{S}$  which is the same as  $S$  except that  $S^i$  and  $S^{i+1}$  are interchanged (some  $i$  between 2 and  $k-2$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(S, h) - T(\hat{S}, h) &= (p_i (t_{i-1} + c_i) + p_{i+1} (t_i + c_{i+1})) \\ &\quad - (p_{i+1} (t_{i-1} + c_{i+1}) + p_i (t_{i-1} + L_{i+1} + c_i)) \\ &= L_i p_{i+1} - L_{i+1} p_i, \text{ or} \\ T(S, h) &\leq T(\hat{S}, h) \text{ if and only if } \rho_i \geq \rho_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

So transposing the order between an earlier lower density search and a later higher density search cannot increase the expected capture time. (5)

Consequently, the optimality of  $S$  implies that higher density search pieces are carried out before lower density ones. Thus

$$\rho_2 \geq \rho_3 \geq \cdots \geq \rho_{k-2} \geq \rho_{k-1}. \quad (6)$$

This optimality condition for alternating search problems is essentially that of Proposition 3 of Alpern and Howard [3].

The next part of the proof can be interpreted as a new problem, better for the searcher, in which he can always search the remainder of  $E_1$  according to the density  $\delta$  (the density of the measure  $h$  on  $E_1$ ) whenever he arrives at  $e$ , without retracing any parts of  $E_1$  he has already searched. Observe that for all searches  $S^i$  in  $E_1$  (that is,  $i$  odd) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_i &\geq p_i/\delta, \text{ and} \\ c_i &\geq p_i/2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $\bar{w}$  represents the sum (4) with  $L_i$  replaced by  $\bar{L}_i = p_i/\delta$  and  $c_i$  by  $\bar{c}_i = p_i/2\delta$  and hence  $\bar{\delta}_i = \delta$  for all odd  $i$ , we have

$$\bar{w} = T(\bar{S}) \leq T(S, h) \leq w.$$

We call the shortened searches of  $E_1$ , searches  $\bar{S}^i$ , for odd  $i$ . Of course we don't know if the search pattern  $\bar{S}$ , with these distributions of capture times, can be realized by a continuous unit speed path in  $G$ .

In the sum for  $\bar{w}$ , all the odd numbered densities  $\bar{\rho}_i$  will be equal to  $p_i/L_i = \delta$ , and the even numbered ones are unchanged,  $\bar{\rho}'_i = \rho_i$ ,  $i$  even. So the density sequence in the sum for  $\bar{w}$  will look like

$$\delta, \rho_2, \delta, \rho_4, \delta, \rho_6, \delta, \dots, \rho_k \text{ (if } k \text{ is even), or} \quad (7)$$

$$\delta, \rho_2, \delta, \rho_4, \delta, \rho_6, \delta, \dots, \rho_{k-1}, \delta \text{ (if } k \text{ is odd).} \quad (8)$$

The modification of  $S$  to  $\bar{S}$  left the searches of  $C$  unchanged while making the searches of  $E_1$  maximally efficient, and did not change the order of the searches. We next modify  $\bar{S}$  to a search  $\hat{S}$  by changing the *order* of the search pieces, without increasing the expected meeting time, using the observation (5). Note that the densities  $\rho_{2j}$  are decreasing because of (6) so we can make transpositions of adjacent searches which do not increase the resulting expected capture time sum  $\hat{w}$ , and put all the searches in  $E_1$  in a consecutive sequence. For example, if  $\delta$  lies between  $\rho_6$  and  $\rho_4$ , the sequence  $\hat{S}$  given by

$$S^2, S^4, \overbrace{S^1, S^3, \dots, S^{k-1}}, S^6, S^8, \dots, S^k \text{ (if } k \text{ is even)}$$

with density sequence

$$\rho_2, \rho_4, \delta, \delta, \dots, \delta, \rho_6, \rho_8, \rho_{10}, \dots$$

has an expected capture time no larger than that of  $\bar{S}$ , that is

$$T(\hat{S}, h) \leq T(\bar{S}, h) \leq T(S, h).$$

We now show that the search procedure  $\hat{S}$  can be carried out by a unit speed path in  $G$ . This requires us to establish that the total duration of the searches in  $E_1$  is exactly the length of  $E_1$ , since  $E_1$  is Eulerian, and can be searched in a time equal to its length by a tour starting and ending at  $e$ .

By construction, the length of time spent by  $\hat{S}$  in exploring  $E_1$  is

$$\bar{L}_1 + \bar{L}_3 + \dots = \frac{1}{\delta} (p_1 + p_2 + \dots) = \lambda(E_1), \text{ as required}$$

Thus all the search pieces in  $\hat{S}$ , including in particular the first one (which is either  $S^2$  or an Eulerian tour of  $E_1$  starting at  $e$ ), start at  $e_1$ . ■

**Lemma 5** *If  $G$  is partly Eulerian, then*

$$V(G) \geq \bar{\mu}/2 - r = a + b/2 - r, \quad (9)$$

where  $r$  is the radius of the tree part  $A$  of  $G$ .

**Proof.** Since a partly Eulerian network is weakly Eulerian, Theorem 1 implies that for any  $z \in G$  we have

$$V_z(G) = \bar{\mu}/2.$$

Let  $h$  be an optimal hider mixed strategy for the game  $\Gamma(G, c)$ , where  $c$  is the center of the tree part  $A$  of  $G$ . Let  $S$  be a pure search strategy starting at some point  $x \in G$  which is an optimal reply to  $h$ . By the previous Lemma we may assume that  $x \in A$ . Since  $h$  is also a valid hiding strategy for the arbitrary-start game  $\Gamma(G)$ , we have by Lemma 3 with  $y = c$ ,

$$V(G) \geq T(S, h) \geq V_c(G) - d(x, c) \geq \bar{\mu}/2 - d(x, c) \geq \bar{\mu}/2 - r. \quad (10)$$

■

## 6 Simplicity of partly Eulerian networks

We can now establish our main result.

**Theorem 6** *A partly Eulerian network  $G$  is simply searchable. In particular, if  $G$  based on a tree  $A$  of length  $a$ , center  $c$ , and radius  $r$ , to which are attached disjoint Eulerian networks of total length  $b$ , then*

$$V(G) = \tilde{\mu}/2 = a + b/2 - r.$$

Furthermore, an equiprobable mixture of any CP path with its reverse path is an optimal searcher mixed strategy. An optimal hider strategy for the game  $\Gamma(G, c)$  is also optimal for the hider in  $\Gamma(G)$ .

**Proof.** By (9), (2) and (3), we have

$$a + b/2 - r \leq V(G) \leq \tilde{\mu}/2 \leq a + b/2 - r.$$

Since the leftmost and rightmost constants are the same, all the inequalities must be equalities and we have

$$V(G) = \tilde{\mu}/2 = a + b/2 - r,$$

and the rest follows from the definitions. (Note that this argument also establishes that (2) holds as an equality.) ■

**Corollary 7** *Let  $G$  be a network of total length  $\mu$ .*

1. *If  $G$  is a tree of radius  $r$ , then  $V(G) = \mu - r$ .*
2. *If  $G$  is Eulerian, then  $V(G) = \mu/2$ .*

**Proof.** In the first case  $b = 0$  and  $\mu = a$ . In the second case  $a = r = 0$  and  $\mu = b$ . ■

Part 1 is due to Dagan and Gal [4]. Part 2 is easy to establish directly from the definitions.

## References

- [1] S. Alpern (1974). The search game with mobile hider on the circle. In *Differential Games and Control Theory* (E. O. Roxin, P. T. Liu and R.L Sternberg, eds), 181-200. M. Dekker, New York.
- [2] S. Alpern and S. Gal (2003). *The Theory of Search Games and Rendezvous*. Kluwer International Series in Operations Research and Management Sciences, Kluwer, Boston.
- [3] S. Alpern and J. V. Howard (2000). Alternating search at two locations. *Dynamics and Control* **10**, 319-339.
- [4] Dagan and S. Gal (2004). Personal communication.
- [5] S. Gal (1980). *Search Games*. Academic Press, New York.
- [6] S. Gal (2000). On the optimality of a simple strategy for searching graphs. *Int. J. Game Theory* **29**, 533-542.
- [7] R. Isaacs (1965). *Differential Games*. Wiley, New York.
- [8] J. H. Reijnierse and J. A. M. Potters (1993). Search games with immobile hider. *Int. J. Game Theory* **21**, 385-394.
- [9] M. I. Zeliken (1972). On a differential game with incomplete information. *Soviet Math. Doklady* **13**, 228-231.